### PH1 Computational Ion Optics with IBSimu

### Taneli Kalvas

taneli.kalvas@jyu.fi FL114

University of Jyväskylä, Department of Physics

https://ibsimu.sourceforge.net/jss2015

# Participants

### Lecturer:

Taneli Kalvas, taneli.kalvas@jyu.fi

#### **Course tutors:**

Risto Kronholm, risto.j.kronholm@student.jyu.fi Janne Laulainen, janne.p.laulainen@student.jyu.fi

Who else?

### The course

- First week: Introductory part (1 ECTS)
  - Lectures  $3 \times 2$  hours
  - Demonstrations  $3 \times 2$  hours
- Second week: Main part (3 ECTS)
  - Lectures  $2 \times 2$  hours
  - Demonstrations  $5 \times 2$  hours
  - Homework!

## Schedule

### Schedule

### **Introductory part**

Wed 5.8. 10–12, 14–16 Thu 6.8. 10–12, 14–16 Fri 7.8. 10–12, 14–16

#### Main part

Mon 10.8. 10–12, 14–16 Tue 11.8. 10–12, 14–16 Wed 12.8. 12–14 Thu 13.8. 12–14 Fri 14.8. 12–14

## Contents

### Main part

- What can IBSimu do?
- Full-scale examples
- Error-analysis

# What can IBSimu do?

# Start of development

Work with IBSIMU started at LBNL in 2004 when designing a slit-beam neutron generator with nanosecond scale beam chopping.





## Start of development

Particle-in-cell modelling of chopped beam was an important part of the original work. Such capability does not exist currently, but may be implemented with little effort.



# Positive plasma model

A positive ion extraction plasma model was added and other difficult three dimensional problems were modelled, for example a slit-beam system for PPPL.



ICIS 2007, J. H. Vainionpaa, et. al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 79, 02C102 (2008)

PH1, Jyväskylä Summer School 2015, p. 9

# Negative plasma model

Negative ion extraction model was developed and used with several designs over the years



Texas A&M 1 mA  $H^-/D^-$  ion source extraction design



NIBS 2010, T. Kalvas, et. al., AIP Conf. Proc. 1390, 150 (2011)

# Negative plasma model

SNS Baseline 38 mA H<sup>-</sup> ion source extraction modelling



ICIS 2011, T. Kalvas, et. al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 83, 02A705 (2012)

PH1, Jyväskylä Summer School 2015, p. 11

# Negative plasma model

Proposed new extraction for SNS (100 mA H<sup>-</sup>, 1 A e<sup>-</sup>)



ICIS 2011, T. Kalvas, et. al., Rev. Sci. Instrum. 83, 02A705 (2012)

PH1, Jyväskylä Summer School 2015, p. 12

### Surface emission

Surface electron emission simulation for nanographite e-gun



PH1, Jyväskylä Summer School 2015, p. 13

## 14 GHz ECR extraction



# **Full scale examples**

### Slit-beam triode extraction

- 30 mA/cm<sup>2</sup> H<sup>+</sup> ions from 3 mm slit
- 2D approximation first, 3D effect studied next
- Triode electrode system for blocking electron back-flow
  - Enables beam space charge compensation
- Choosing simulation parameters, introduction to discrete effects
- Optimizing geometry and voltages for emittance

## Slit-beam triode extraction



# Wien filter

- Velocity filter using crossed B and E-fields, 3D problem
- Construction of simple geometry with FuncSolids
- Automation: use of plotting tools and diagnostics

### Wien filter



## Cesium sputter ion source



### Cesium sputter ion source

I.S. Iyer et al. / Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A 381 (1996) 1-3



### Cesium sputter ion source

- Beam starting with low energy causes convergence problems:  $\rho = J/v$
- Fixed J is not a valid solution (space charge limited emission in reality)
- Space charge limited emission not implemented yet in IBSimu



# Volume production H<sup>-</sup> ion source LIISA

- $1 \text{ mA H}^-$  ions and 5 mA electrons
- 3D problem with magnetic field
- Geometry cylindrically symmetric
- Effects of magnetic field, dumping of electrons

# 14 GHz ECR at JYFL

- Quick cylindrically symmetric simulation
- Full charge state distribution of N-15
- Emittance growth due to magnetic field
- Analysis using saved binary data, plotting using IBSimu tools

# **Matrix coefficients for beam transport programs**

PH1, Jyväskylä Summer School 2015, p. 25

## Traditional transfer matrix optics



Treats ion-optical elements (and drifts) as black boxes with transfer matrices describing the effect to trajectories. In TRANSPORT  $X = (x, x', y, y', l, \delta p/p)$ 

$$X_{i}(1) = \sum_{j} R_{ij} X_{j}(0) + \sum_{jk} T_{ijk} X_{j}(0) X_{k}(0) + \cdots$$

Ideal 1st order quadrupole:

	$\cos kL$	$\frac{1}{k}\sin kL$	0	0	0	0 \
R =	$-k\sin kL$	$\cos kL$	0	0	0	0
	0	0	$\cosh kL$	$rac{1}{k}\sinh kL$	0	0
	0	0	$k \sinh k L$	$\cosh kL$	0	0
	0	0	0	0	1	0
	0	0	0	0	0	1 /

# Traditional transfer matrix optics

• The whole system can be described with one matrix:

$$R_{\text{system}} = R_N \cdots R_2 \cdot R_1$$

• Can also transport elliptical envelopes in addition to trajectories:

$$\sigma_1 = R\sigma_0 R^T, \text{ where}$$
$$\sigma = \epsilon \begin{pmatrix} \beta & -\alpha \\ \alpha & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$$

- Advantage: calculation is fast (automatic optimization, etc)
- May include additional space charge induced divergence growth for beam envelopes and/or rms emittance growth modelling for particle distributions.
- Matrices arise from analytic formulation, numerical integration of fields or **fitting to experimental/simulation data**.

JYFL injection line solenoid

• Modelled with FEMM for 100 A induction current



Grid data output (using MATLAB script) within  $z \in [0, 350]$ ,  $r \in [0, 50]$ Data mirrored to fill  $z \in [-350, 350]$ .

IBSimu simulation to track particles through the magnetic field



Linear fitting to  $(x_0, x'_0, y_0, y'_0) \rightarrow (x_1, x'_1, y_1, y'_1)$  produces a matrix

	(-0.16945)	0.272915	-0.169915	0.268352	
R =	-1.75785	-0.172501	-1.72776	-0.165878	
	0.169905	-0.268383	-0.169429	0.272935	
	1.72775	0.165847	-1.75778	-0.172471	

This is a transfer matrix for drift + solenoid + drift.

Goal: coefficients L and  $B_0$  for linear solenoid model  $R_{sol} =$ 

(	$\cos(\phi)\cos(\phi)$	$\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)/K$	$\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)$	$\sin(\phi)\sin(\phi)/K$	
	$-\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)K$	$\cos(\phi)\cos(\phi)$	$-\sin(\phi)\sin(\phi)K$	$\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)$	
	$-\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)$	$-\sin(\phi)\sin(\phi)/K$	$\cos(\phi)\cos(\phi)$	$\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)/K$	
	$\sin(\phi)\sin(\phi)K$	$-\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)$	$-\sin(\phi)\cos(\phi)K$	$\cos(\phi)\cos(\phi)$	/

where  $\phi = \frac{1}{2}B_0L/B_r$  and  $K = \phi/L$ .

Fitting of drift(0.5 m - 0.5L) + sol $(B_0, L)$  + drift(0.5 m - 0.5L) produced coefficients

 $B_0 = 0.226 \text{ T}$ L = 0.222 m ,

Resulting linear solenoid model vs. real field on axis



# Negative ion extraction from plasma

# Effect of plasma parameters in simulation

#### Homework:

How do negative ion extraction plasma model parameters J,  $R_e i$ ,  $T_t$ ,  $R_f$ ,  $\phi_P$ ,  $E_0$  and  $T_p$  affect the solution in IBSimu?

# Emittance as a function of beam current



### SNS extraction

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Emittance as a probe for plasma sheath

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### PELLIS ion source at JYFL Pelletron accelerator

### Experimental emittance

Varying source pressure and filament power

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Experimental emittance

Varying source pressure and filament power

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

Equivalent current is  $I_{H^-} = I_e \sqrt{m_e/m_{H^-}}$ 

PH1, Jyväskylä Summer School 2015, p. 38

### Experiment vs. simulation

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

There seems to be higher charge density at the plasma sheath than what can be calculated from the beam current.

### Emittance vs. simulation

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fitting produced  $R_{ec} = 3$  and  $T_t = 0.75$  eV. Rest of parameters from literature.

# Other artefacts of plasma model

The flux direction at sheath edge

- a) In reality
- b) In simulations

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)